Asymptotics of Best Packing and Best Covering

Austin Anderson, Edward White

June 2021

< □ ▶ < 酉 ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ ♪ < ≧ ♪ ≧ り < ? 1/30

- Given a compact set, what is the maximal number of disks which can be packed into the set?
- Similarly, there is a dual problem which asks for the minimal of disks needed to cover a given compact set.
- Examples of these questions, how will a given gas expand to fit its container? How do you best distribute an important resource over a large area with irregular shape?

Examples



Figure: On the left we have a well covered square with four points, on the right a poorly covered square by four points

• Let \mathbb{R}^d be our ambient space and

$$\omega_{N} = \{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$$

a collection of N points

Set the following to be the minimum distance between any pair of points

$$\delta(\omega_N) := \min_{1 \le i \ne j \le N} |y_i - y_j|$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 · りへで 4/30

Best Packings

Let A be an infinite, compact set and define the best packing distance of N points on A as

$$\delta_{N}(A) := \sup\{\delta(\omega_{N}) : \omega_{N} \subset A, \#\omega_{N} = N\}$$

► For instance the best packing of N points in [0, 1] consists of N equally spaced points with separation ¹/_{N-1}



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ⑦ Q ♀ 5/30

Let A be an infinite, compact set and define the best (constrained) covering distance of N points on A as

$$\rho_N(A) := \min_{\omega_N \subset A} \max_{y \in A} dist(y, \omega_N)$$

If we consider the collection of points ω^{*}_N ⊂ ℝ^d then we call this the unconstrained covering, denoted ρ^{*}_N(A)

$$\rho_N^*(A) := \min_{\substack{\omega_N^* \subset \mathbb{R}^d \ y \in A}} \max_{y \in A} \operatorname{dist}(y, \omega_N^*)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ⑦ < ℃ 6/30</p>

Given a lower semi-continuous kernel K(x, y) : A × A → (-∞, ∞] and a configuration ω_N given as seen previously, its K−energy is given as

$$E_{K}(\omega_{N}) := \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le N} K(x_{i}, x_{j})$$
$$\mathcal{E}(A; N) := \min_{\omega_{N} \subset A} \{E_{K}(\omega_{N})\}$$

the minimal K-energy over all such configurations

Finding the optimal configurations such that E = E is in general a difficult problem

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り < ♡ _{7/30}

Maximal Polarization

The problem of maximal polarization is defined as follows

$$U_{\mathcal{K}}(y;\omega_N):=\sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{K}(y,x_i)$$

then consider the minimum

$$P_{\mathcal{K}}(A;\omega_N) := \inf_{y\in A} U_{\mathcal{K}}(y;\omega_N)$$

then the Nth K-polarization (Chebyshev) constant of A is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}(A; \mathcal{N}) := \sup_{\omega_{\mathcal{N}} \subset A} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}(A; \omega_{\mathcal{N}})$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 · りへ [®] 8/30





Theorem

For compact sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ and integers d, p satisfying $1 \le d \le p$ and $2 \le p$ and $\mathcal{H}_d(A) > 0$, then: If $\lim_{N\to\infty} \eta_N^*(A) \cdot N^{1/d}$ exists, then $\lim_{N\to\infty} \eta_N(A) \cdot N^{1/d}$ also exists and they agree.

Weak Separation for Coverings

▶ We need a lemma that gives us flexibility in our covering points



Replacing Uncontrained with Constrained points

If a point lies outside of the set, we can replace it with nearby points



Consequences and Transition

 This result, and its contrapositive, has additional results worth discussing

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ 三 の < ? 13/30

Densest Sphere Packing in \mathbb{R}^p

- What is the 'densest' arrangement of congruent *p*-dimensional spheres in ℝ^p? (by proportion of volume the spheres occupy in the ambient space.) Denote the quantity by Δ_p.
- Prior to 2015 we knew only cases p = 1, 2, 3
- Maryna Viazovska, Henry Cohn & collaborators recently showed:

E8 attains $\Delta_8 = \pi^4/324$ in \mathbb{R}^8 Leech lattice attains $\Delta_{24} = \pi^8/(12!)$ in \mathbb{R}^{24}

Figure: Hexagonal Lattice attains $\Delta_2 = \pi/\sqrt{12}$ in \mathbb{R}^2



Growth Order of Packing and Covering

- ▶ Proving *exact* answers is generally very hard \implies consider $N \rightarrow \infty$
- Let d = dim_H(A) denote the Hausdorff dimension of A ⊂ ℝ^p compact. Then

$$\begin{split} \delta(A;N) &= \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/d}), N \to \infty, \\ \eta(A;N) &= \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/d}), N \to \infty, \\ \eta^*(A;N) &= \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/d}), N \to \infty. \end{split}$$



► Smoothness assumptions on A ⇒ existence of asymptotics for best packing, best covering (minimal energy, maximal polarization...)

Theorem (PSBT for Packing)

Let A be a smooth d-manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^p . Let \mathcal{H}_d denote the d-dimensional Hausdorff measure (just surface measure for A in this case.) Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \delta(A;N) N^{-1/d} = C_d \mathcal{H}_d(A)^{1/d},$$

・ロト <
同 ト <
三 ト <
三 ト 、
三
・
の へ
の 16/30
</p>

where C_d is a positive constant depending on only d.

... Moreover, if $\mathcal{H}_d(A) > 0$ then the sequence of probability measures

$$\nu_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}$$

obtained from best packing configurations $\omega_N := \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}, N \ge 2$ is uniformly distributed with respect to normalized \mathcal{H}_d in the limit. That is, in the weak* topology of measures

$$\nu_{\mathsf{N}} \stackrel{*}{\to} \frac{\mathcal{H}_d(A \cap \cdot)}{\mathcal{H}_d(A)}, \mathsf{N} \to \infty.$$

The Torus (Bagel)

- $Cd = (\Delta_d / \beta_d)^{1/d}$ where β_d is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d , Δ_d is the the largest sphere packing density in \mathbb{R}^d
- ► PSBT Part 2 ⇒ for the torus, A := T ⊂ ℝ³ (d = 2) best packing configurations uniformly distributed for large N



'PSBT' for covering (circa 1950-1960 by Kolmogorov & Tichomirov) only proven for Jordan measurable sets in the unconstrained case, for full dimension, with nothing about distribution.

Theorem (PSBT for Unconstrained Covering) Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a compact set with $\mathcal{L}_p(\partial A) = 0$. Define $\Sigma_p^* := \inf_{N \ge 1} \eta^*([0,1]^p; N) \cdot N^{1/p}$. Then $\Sigma_p^* > 0$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\eta^*(A;N)\cdot N^{1/p}=\Sigma_p^*\mathcal{L}_p(A)^{1/p}.$$

・ロト <
同 ト <
三 ト <
三 ト 、
三
・
の へ
の 19/30
</p>

 'Existence of unconstrained covering asymptotics ⇒ existence of constrained covering asymptotics, and the limits agree' so we get the following...

Theorem (PSBT for Constrained Covering) Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a compact set with $\mathcal{L}_p(\partial A) = 0$. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\eta(A;N)\cdot N^{1/p}=\Sigma_p^*\mathcal{L}_p(A)^{1/p}.$$

PSBT for Packing is known under very mild smoothness assumptions (e.g. on sets that are the image of a compact set under a Lipshitz map). This should happen for covering as well...

How Much Regularity is Necessary? Enter Fractals

- Without some "rectifiability" what can be said? Fractals generally lack any smoothness but possess nice scaling and translation properties.
- ▶ We begin by examining packing on the 1/3-Cantor Set.



Asymptotics of Best Packing on the 1/3-Cantor Set

 2^n point best packings behave nicely on the 1/3-Cantor set C.



On the 1/3-Cantor set C we obtain

 $\delta(C; 2^n + 1) = 1/3^n \implies \limsup_{N \to \infty} \delta(C; N) \cdot N^{1/d} > \liminf_{N \to \infty} \delta(C; N) \cdot N^{1/d}$

- So $\lim_{N\to\infty} \delta(C; N) \cdot N^{1/d}$ does not exist.
- Does this phenomenon hold on more general fractals?
- What is the story for covering?

A compact set A ⊂ ℝ^p is a self-similar fractal fixed under K similitudes if

$$A = \bigcup_{j=1}^{K} \psi_j(A)$$

where the **similitudes** ψ_j are contraction mappings satisfying $\psi_j(x) = r_j \mathcal{O}(x) + z_j$, for **contraction ratios** $0 < r_j < 1$, and an orthogonal matrix $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}(p)$

Our self-similar fractals must also satisfy the Open Set Condition (OSC) and have ψ_j(A) ∩ ψ_i(A) = Ø, i ≠ j.

Dependent vs. Independent Similitudes

For a self similar fractal A with similitudes {ψ_j}^K_{j=1} and contraction ratios {r_j}^K_{j=1}, the similitudes are **dependent** (or lattice) if there exists h > 0 such that

$$\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{M} a_j \log(r_j) : a_j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} = h\mathbb{Z}.$$

- Otherwise the similitudes are independent
- The 1/3-Cantor set C ⊂ ℝ¹ is a dependent self-similar fractal with similitudes ψ₁(x) = 1/3x, and ψ₂(x) = 1/3x + 2/3.

Packing and Covering on Self-Similar Fractals

► Let
$$N(\epsilon) := \max\{n \ge 2 : \delta(A; n) \ge \epsilon\},$$

 $M(\epsilon) := \min\{n \ge 2 : \eta(A; n) \le \epsilon\}, \epsilon > 0$

Theorem (PSBT for Packing and Constrained Covering on Self-similar fractals)

Suppose A is a self-similar fractal with $\dim_H(A) = d$. Then the following limits

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \delta(A; N) \cdot N^{1/d} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \epsilon \cdot N(\epsilon)^{1/d}$$
$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \eta(A; M) \cdot M^{1/d} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \epsilon \cdot M(\epsilon)^{1/d}$$

<ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ へ C 26/30

exist if and only if the similitudes defining A are independent.

- 1. Independent \implies existence: due to S.P. Lalley and **Renewal Theory** from Probability
- Dependent ⇒ non-existence: For some 0 < r < 1, contractions for A look like {r^{ij}}^K_{i=1}, i_j ∈ Z⁺ relatively prime
 - ► $\delta(A; N(r^n)) \ge r^n$ and $\delta(A; N(r^n) + 1) \le Cr^n$ for some C < 1, *n* large

▶ $\eta(A; M(r^n)) \leq r^n$ and $\eta(A; M(r^n) - 1) \geq Dr^n$ for some D > 1, n large

Corollary 2

- Let $M^*(\epsilon) := \min\{n \ge 2 : \eta^*(A; n) \le \epsilon\}, \epsilon > 0$
- By contrapositive, 'Non-existence of constrained covering asymptotics asymptotics' so we get the following...

Theorem (Unconstrained Covering on Dependent Fractals) Suppose A is a dependent self-similar fractal with $\dim_H(A) = d$. Then the following limits do not exist

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\eta^*(A;M)\cdot M^{1/d}$$

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \epsilon \cdot M^*(\epsilon)^{1/d}$

・ロ · ・ (日 · ・ ミ · ・ ミ · ・ ミ · の へ (* 28/30)

Sources

- 1. N.N.k Andreev, On positions of points with minimal energy, Papers of the V.A. Steklov Math. Institute 219 (1997), 27-31.
- > 2. K. Böröczky Jr., Finite Packing and Covering, Combridge University Press, 2004
- 3. S.V. Borodachov, D.P. Hardin, E.B. Saff, Asymptotics for discrete weighted minimal Riesz energy problems on rectifiable sets, (submitted)
- 4. J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups, Springer Verlag, New York: 3rd ed., 1999
- 5. H. Federer, Geometric measure theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969.
- 6. L. Fejes Toth, Lagerungen in der Ebene auf der Kugel und im Raum, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1953.
- 7. L. Fejes Toth, Regular figures, A Pergamon Press Book, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1964.
- 8. S. Graf, H. Luschgy, Foundations of quantization for probability distributions, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1730, Springer, 2000.
- 9. T.C. Hales, A proof of the Kepler conjecture, Ann. of Math. (2) 162 (2005), no. 3, 1065-1185.
- 10. D.P. Hardin, E.B. Saff, Discretizing manifolds via minimum energy points, Notices of the AMS 51 (2004), no. 10, 1186-1194.

Sources

- 11. D.P. Hardin, E.B. Saff, Minimal Riesz energy point configurations for rectifiable d-dimensional manifolds, Adv. Math. 193 (2005), 174-204.
- 12. W. Habicht, B.L. van der Waerden, Lagerung von Punkten auf der Kugel, Math. Ann. 123 (1951), 223-234.
- 13. J.E. Hutchinson, Fractals and self-similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981), 743-747.
- 14. A.V. Kolushov, V.A. Yudin, Extremal depositions of points on the sphere, Anal. Math. 23 (1997) no. 1, 25-34.
- 15. N.S. Landkof, Foundations of modern potential theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- 16. A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, V. Maymeskul, E. Rakhmanov, E.B. Saff, Asymptotics for minimal discrete Riesz energy on curves in R^d Canad. Math. J. 56 (2004), 529-552.
- 17. P. Mattila, Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces. Fractals and Rectifiability, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995
- 18. C.A. Rogers, Packing and covering, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1964.
- 19. J.J. Thomson, On the Structure of the Atom: an Investigation of the Stability and Periods of Oscillation of a number of Corpuscles arranged at equal intervals around the Circumference of a Circle; with Application of the results to the Theory of Atomic Structure, Philosophical Mgazine, Sixth Series, 7 (1904), p. 237.
- 20. B.L. van der Waerden, Punkte auf der Kugel. Drei Zusätze, Math. Ann. 125 (1952), 213-222.
- 21. V.A. Yudin, The minimum of potential energy of a system of point charges / / Discrete Math. Appl. - 1993 - Vol. 3, No. 1. - P. 75-81